

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/726,699	11/29/2000	David Coupe	END92000091US1	1379	
30400	7590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
HESLIN RO	THENBERG FARLEY	STEVENS, ROBERTA A			
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2665		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		(K					
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/726,6	99	COUPE ET AL.				
		Examine	7	Art Unit				
		Roberta A	. Shand	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2004.								
· —	<u> </u>							
3)□	,							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-78 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3,5-13,15-22,24-26,28,30-38,40-47,50-53,55,57-63,65-74,76 and 77 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2, 4, 14, 23, 27, 29, 39, 48, 49, 54, 56, 64, 75 and 78 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)-152)			

Art Unit: 2665

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 3, 5, 8-12, 28, 30, 33-37, 51, 53, 55, 57 and 60-63, are rejected under 35
   U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pinder (U.S. 6219358).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Pinder teaches (figs. 5 and 8) a method for re-mapping PID values provided in transport packets associated with multiple transport streams to be multiplexed onto a single shared transport channel, comprising: providing at least one PID re-map table (fig. 3, element (304) having re-map values indexed by n possible PID values of transport packets associated with at least one transport stream of the multiple transport streams, wherein n is less than all possible PID values of the transport packets within the multiple transport streams (col. 15, lines 41-53 and fig. 8); and comparing PID values of transport packets associated with the transport stream with n possible PID values of the PID re-map table, and when the match is found, indexing the PID re-map table using the matching PID value, generating therefrom a re-map value, and replacing the matching PID value by the re-map value (cols. 7-8).

Art Unit: 2665

4. Regarding claims 3, 28 and 55, Pinder teaches (fig. 5) the transport channel couples to a transport de-multiplexor that can handle x PID values where  $n \le x$ .

- 5. Regarding claims 5, 30 and 57, Pinder teaches (fig. 1) receiving the multiple transport streams from multiple network interfaces, each to receive a separate network input.
- 6. Regarding claims 8, 33 and 60, Pinder teaches (fig. 3 and col. 7, lines 3-53) the multiple transport stream comprise two transport streams, and wherein the method comprises providing a separate PID re-map table for each transport stream, and comparing PID values of the transport packets associated with each transport stream with entries of the respective tables.
- 7. Regarding claim 9, 34 and 61, Pinder teaches (col. 6, lines 61-col. 7, line 2) each transport stream contains a real time clock reference.
- 8. Regarding claim 10, 35 and 62, Pinder teaches (fig. 3) navigational table indicative of the PID values in use by the transport streams, monitoring and adjusting the value n responsive to changes in the navigational tables.
- 9. Regarding claim 11, 36 and 63, Pinder teaches (fig. 3) synchronizing each stream to identify packet boundaries (start indicator and discontinuity indicator).
- 10. Regarding claim 12, 37 and 65 Pinder teaches (fig. 1) a live network interface.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 26, Pinder teaches (figs. 5 and 8) a system of re-mapping PID values provided in transport packets associated with multiple transport streams to be multiplexed onto a single shared transport channel, comprising: means for providing at least one PID re-map table (fig. 3, element 304) having re-map values indexed by n possible PID values of transport packets associated with at least one transport stream of the multiple transport streams, wherein n is less than all possible PID values of the transport packets within the multiple transport streams (col. 15, lines 41-53 and fig. 8); and means for comparing PID values of transport packets associated with the transport stream with n possible PID values of the PID re-map table, and when the match is found, indexing the PID re-map table using the matching PID value, generating therefrom a re-map value, and replacing the matching PID value by the re-map value (cols. 7-8).

Page 4

12. Regarding claim 51, Pinder teaches (figs. 5 and 8) a system for processing transport packets associated with multiple transport streams to be multiplexed onto a single transport demultiplexor, comprising: at least one PID re-map table (fig. 3, element 304) having re-map values indexed by n possible PID values of transport packets associated with at least one transport stream of the multiple transport streams, wherein n is less than all possible PID values of the transport packets within the multiple transport streams; and a controller that compares PID values of transport packets associated with the transport stream with n possible PID values of the PID re-map table (col. 15, lines 41-53 and fig. 8), and when the match is found, indexing the PID re-map table using the matching PID value, generating therefrom a re-map value, and replacing the matching PID value by the re-map value (cols. 7-8).

Art Unit: 2665

13. Regarding claim 53, Pinder teaches (figs. 5 and 8) at least one program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying at least one program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method for re-mapping PID values provided in transport packets associated with multiple transport streams to be multiplexed onto a single shared transport channel, comprising: providing at least one PID re-map table (fig. 3, element 304) having re-map values indexed by n possible PID values of transport packets associated with at least one transport stream of the multiple transport streams, wherein n is less than all possible PID values of the transport packets within the multiple transport streams; and comparing PID values of transport packets associated with the transport stream with n possible PID values of the PID re-map table (col. 15, lines 41-53 and fig. 8), and when the match is found, indexing the PID re-map table using the matching PID value, generating therefrom a re-map value, and replacing the matching PID value by the re-map value (cols. 7-8).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 16. Claims 6-7, 13, 15-22, 24, 25, 31-32, 38, 40-47, 50, 52, 58-59, 66-74, 76 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinder in view of Robinett (U.S. 6351471 B1).
- 17. Regarding claims 6-7, 13, 31-32, 38, 58-59 and 66, Pinder teaches (fig. 5) the transport stream retrieved from a storage device associated with a de-multiplexor.
- 18. Pinder does not teach interleaved packets
- 19. Robinett teaches (col. 42, lines 39-59) interleaved data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt to Pinder's system.

  Robinett's interleaving of data to increase quality of service within the system.
- 20. Regarding claim 15, Pinder teaches (figs. 5 and 8) a method for processing transport packets associated with multiple transport streams, comprising: re-mapping PID values, comprising: providing at least one PID re-map table (fig. 3, element (304) having re-map values indexed by n possible PID values of transport packets associated with at least one transport stream of the multiple transport streams, wherein n is less than all possible PID values of the transport packets within the multiple transport streams; and comparing PID values of

Art Unit: 2665

transport packets associated with the transport stream with n possible PID values of the PID remap table (col. 15, lines 41-53 and fig. 8), and when the match is found, indexing the PID remap table using the matching PID value, generating therefrom a re-map value, and replacing the matching PID value by the re-map value (cols. 7-8).

- 21. Pinder does not teach interleaved packets
- 22. Robinett teaches (col. 42, lines 39-59) interleaved data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt to Pinder's system.

  Robinett's interleaving of data to increase quality of service within the system.
- 23. Regarding claims 16, 41 and 68, Pinder teaches (figs. 5) the transport stream for input into a de-multiplexor.
- 24. Regarding claims 17, 42 and 69, Robinett teaches (col. 42, lines 39-59) buffering prior to interleaving.
- 25. Regarding claims 18, 43 and 70, Pinder teaches (fig. 3) synchronizing each stream to identify packet boundaries (start indicator and discontinuity indicator).
- 26. Regarding claims 19, 20, 44-45 and 71-72, Pinder teaches (fig. 1) a live network interface and input.
- 27. Regarding claims 21, 46 and 73, Pinder teaches (fig. 5) a storage device (502).

Art Unit: 2665

28. Regarding claims 22, 47 and 74, Pinder teaches (col. 5. lines 7-19) a set top box.

- 29. Regarding claims 24 and 76, Pinder teaches (fig. 5) the transport channel couples to a transport de-multiplexor that can handle x PID values where  $n \le x$ .
- 30. Regarding claims 25, 50 and 77, Pinder teaches (fig. 3) navigational table indicative of the PID values in use by the transport streams, monitoring and adjusting the value n responsive to changes in the navigational tables.
- Regarding claim 40, Pinder teaches (figs. 5 and 8) a system for processing transport packets associated with multiple transport streams, comprising: means for re-mapping PID values, comprising: means for providing at least one PID re-map table (fig. 3, element (304) having re-map values indexed by n possible PID values of transport packets associated with at least one transport stream of the multiple transport streams, wherein n is less than all possible PID values of the transport packets within the multiple transport streams (col. 15, lines 41-53 and fig. 8); and means for comparing PID values of transport packets associated with the transport stream with n possible PID values of the PID re-map table, and when the match is found, indexing the PID re-map table using the matching PID value, generating therefrom a re-map value, and replacing the matching PID value by the re-map value (cols. 7-8).
- 32. Pinder does not teach means for interleaving packets
- 33. Robinett teaches (col. 42, lines 39-59) interleaved data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt to Pinder's system. Robinett's interleaving of data to increase quality of service within the system.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 52, Pinder teaches (figs. 5 and 8) a system for processing transport packets associated with multiple transport streams, comprising: re-mapping PID values, comprising: providing at least one PID re-map table (fig. 3, element (304) having re-map values indexed by n possible PID values of transport packets associated with at least one transport stream of the multiple transport streams, wherein n is less than all possible PID values of the transport packets within the multiple transport streams (col. 15, lines 41-53 and fig. 8); and a controller that compares PID values of transport packets associated with the transport stream with n possible PID values of the PID re-map table, and when the match is found, indexing the PID re-map table using the matching PID value, generating therefrom a re-map value, and

Page 9

35. Pinder does not teach interleaved packets

replacing the matching PID value by the re-map value (cols. 7-8).

- 36. Robinett teaches (col. 42, lines 39-59) interleaved data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt to Pinder's system. Robinett's interleaving of data to increase quality of service within the system.
- Regarding claim 67, Pinder teaches (figs. 5 and 8) at least one program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying at least one program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method for re-mapping PID values provided in transport packets associated with multiple transport streams to be multiplexed onto a single shared transport channel, comprising: providing at least one PID re-map table (fig. 3, element 304) having re-map values indexed by n possible PID values of transport packets associated with at least one transport stream of the multiple transport streams, wherein n is less than all possible PID values

Art Unit: 2665

of the transport packets within the multiple transport streams (col. 15, lines 41-53 and fig. 8); and comparing PID values of transport packets associated with the transport stream with n possible PID values of the PID re-map table, and when the match is found, indexing the PID re-map table using the matching PID value, generating therefrom a re-map value, and replacing the matching PID value by the re-map value (cols. 7-8).

- 38. Pinder does not teach interleaved packets
- 39. Robinett teaches (col. 42, lines 39-59) interleaved data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt to Pinder's system.

  Robinett's interleaving of data to increase quality of service within the system.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

40. Claims 2, 4, 14, 23 27, 29, 39, 48, 49, 54, 56, 64, 75 and 78 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 20, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Pinder does not teach only selected PIDs are re-mapped. Applicant is directed to figure 8 and columns 15, lines 41-53 where it is shown (617) only selected PIDs are modified (remapped) and n is the number of PIDs that have modified (618) (remapped) which is less than the total number of PIDs.

Art Unit: 2665

## Conclusion

Page 11

42. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 44. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberta A Shand whose telephone number is 571-272-3161. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30pm.
- 45. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2665

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberta A Shand Examiner Art Unit 2665 Page 12

STEVEN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER